

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,004	01/04/2002	Ralph Weldy	0112480-010	7477	
24573	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
	YD & LLOYD, LLC	CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
PO BOX 11:	35 IL 60690-1135	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ÇIIIC/100,	12 00070 1130		1761		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\(\tau_{\text{pri}}\) \(\text{pri}\)		Applicant(s)		
	7,004	$\sim$	ELDY	
Office Action Summary Examiner			Group Art Unit	
/tecy this	RL.C	08 B 14	1761	<u></u>
-The MAJLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet bene	eath the co	rrespondence addr	esş—
eriod for Reply				•
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE F THIS COMMUNICATION.	3	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) M.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the approximate the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this content adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	atutory minimonionionionionionionionionionionionionio	um of thirty (3 the mailing d secome ABAN	0) days will be consider ate of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 3).
tatus				•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		-		•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	tters, <b>prose</b> O.G. 213.	cution as t	o the merits is clos	ed in
isposition of Claims				
Claim(s)	·	is/are p	ending in the applica	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consi	deration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	illowed.	
Claim(s)		is/are n	ejected.	
Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				election
		require		
pplication Papers		disapprove	ed.	
pplication Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ ap	pproved $\square$			
pplication Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ ap  ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appropriate ☐ appropriate ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appropriate ☐ app				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appropriate ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E				
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ and □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ and □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	Examiner	( <b>d</b> ).	×	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ and ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)	Examiner	(d).	×.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	Examiner	( <b>d</b> ).	x-	
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ and □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ Fiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> </ul>	Examiner C. § 119 (a)–(			
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	Examiner  5. § 119 (a)-(		•	
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	Examiner  5. § 119 (a)–(  blication No.eceived			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	Examiner  5. § 119 (a)–(  blication No. eceived  Rule 17.2(a		•	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	Examiner  5. § 119 (a)–(  blication No. eceived  Rule 17.2(a		•	
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	Examiner  5. § 119 (a)–(  colication No. eceived  Rule 17.2(a	))	mary, PTO-413	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is and are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.  All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in App □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	Examiner  S. § 119 (a)–(  Dilication No. ecceived  Rule 17.2(a	)) erview Sum	mary, PTO-413	on, PTO-152
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	Examiner  S. § 119 (a)–(  c) Since the control of t	erview Sum		

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by <u>The Good Cook</u> literature article (pages 96, 108,110)

The literature article discloses marinating, in a liquid marinade, chilled or cooled chicken pieces, e.g. slices, and then cooking.

- 4. Claim 1 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strong (4,012,808,cols. 5 and 6). Strong discloses cutting a chilled chicken into pieces, marinating in a liquid marinade, battering and breading if desired, and then cooking by frying. It would have been obvious to eliminate the battering and breading steps with their function if it is desired to prepare cooked chicken for individuals on low carbohydrate diets or for individuals who simply do not desire breading.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Buckholz et al, Babka et al and Mason et al show various chicken marinating procedures followed by cooking.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/039,004

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

A. Corbin/af March 25, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

3-26-04